

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,129	09/12/2003	Daniel J. Cooke	279.445USI	9076
21186	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGN	MAN, LUNDBERG, WO	KRAMER, NICOLE R		
P.O. BOX 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3762	THE EXTREME STATE OF THE STATE
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,129	COOKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nicole R. Kramer	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 June 2006</u> . 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-16,18-22 and 24-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-16,18-22 and 24-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/27/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,755,762 ("Bush").

Bush discloses an electro-medical system comprising a container (non-electrode portions of the lead are electrically insulated with an insulator 34, 35, 36, 37, which may be silicone rubber, polyurethane, or nonporous fluoropolymer tubing located between the conductor and beneath the porous covering 10; see col. 5, lines 43-67. Examiner considers this tubing to be "a container") including an electrical device therein (Examiner considers the conductor to be "an electrical device;" see Fig. 2) and a porous first covering over the container (continuous porous covering 10), wherein the porous first covering includes a porous communication to the container (the pore size is chosen to be small enough to discourage tissue ingrowth but large enough that current can be delivered through the covering when the pores are filled with body fluid; see col. 3, lines 10-16). Bush discloses that the porous tubular covering may be made of various materials, including polyethylene (see col. 6, lines 1-13, especially line 5). Examiner considers this polyethylene to be the claimed "expanded ultra-high molecular weight

polyethylene" since the material of Bush is characterized by pore sizes suitable to allow penetration of bodily fluids but small enough such that fibrous tissue ingrowth is reduced (see col. 6, lines 13-22).

Page 3

With respect to claim 4, Examiner considers the container to be completely covered in the porous covering (see, for example Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 16, Bush discloses a lead including a lead proximal end, a lead body, and a distal end including an electrode (electrodes 16 and 20), wherein lead includes a porous covering (continuous porous covering 10) that includes a porous communication to the lead, and wherein the porous covering includes a pore structure that repels in vivo fibrotic tissue ingrowth (the pore size is chosen to be small enough to discourage tissue ingrowth but large enough that current can be delivered through the covering when the pores are filled with body fluid; see col. 3, lines 10-16).

With respect to claims 19-20, Bush discloses a dielectric coating over at least one of the proximal end and the lead body (non-electrode portions of the lead are electrically insulated with an insulator 34, 35, 36, 37, which may be silicone rubber, polyurethane, or nonporous fluoropolymer tubing located between the conductors and beneath the porous covering 10; see col. 5, lines 43-67).

With respect to claim 21, Bush discloses that lead 12 may be one of a plurality of leads (see col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,129 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-11,16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,704,604 ("Soukup et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,755,762 ("Bush").

Soukup et al. discloses a system and method for selectively promoting tissue ingrowth on, or adjacent to, an implantable medical device utilizing a layer or porous PTFE tubing or tape, with the pores having a pore size to either selectively prevent substantially all tissue in-growth and/or selectively promote tissue in-growth at predetermined locations (see Abstract). Soukup et al. discloses that the disclosed invention may be utilized on the enclosure/can of an implantable medical device (see col. 8, lines 1-10), which necessarily includes an electrical device housed therein. Because the layer(s) are porous, body fluids that are retained within the pores allow these layers to conduct electricity when the lead is implanted (see col. 5, lines 45-50). Soukup et al. discloses that the porous covering is constructed from porous PTFE, and thus fails to teach that the porous covering may include "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene." Bush discloses various materials for a porous covering, including both PTFE and polyethylene (see col. 6, lines 1-13). Examiner considers this

Art Unit: 3762

polyethylene to be the claimed "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" since the material of Bush is characterized by pore sizes suitable to allow penetration of bodily fluids but small enough such that fibrous tissue ingrowth is controlled as desired (see col. 6, lines 13-22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the porous covering of Soukup et al. such that it includes polyethylene as taught by Bush, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USQP 416.*

Further, Examiner notes that Applicant has not disclosed that the use of "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" as opposed to the other porous materials of original claim 2 solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose other than such material includes a matrix of expanded macromolecules that both repel in vivo fibrotic tissue ingrowth, and is porous enough to provide an electrical coupling path between a body tissue or fluid and the electrode (see, for example, page 10, lines 9-12 of Applicant's specification). As taught by Bush, various materials, including both PTFE and polyethylene, are known in the art for including pore sizes suitable to allow penetration of bodily fluids but small enough such that fibrous tissue ingrowth is reduced (see col. 6, lines 1-22). Thus, it appears to be an obvious matter of design choice to modify the PTFE the porous covering of Soukup et al. such that it includes polyethylene, since the selection of any of these known equivalent materials would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art, and it appears that the claimed invention would perform equally well with any suitable material having pore sizes suitable to repel tissue

ingrowth while still allowing an electrical coupling path between a body tissue or fluid and the electrode.

With respect to claims 3, 5-7, 11, 16, Soukup et al. discloses a lead (i.e., leads 600 and 604 of Fig. 6) having a distal end including an electrode/coil (electrodes 602 and 604). Soukup et al. discloses several embodiments, including embodiments in which the electrode/coil is covered with a porous second covering (see, for example, col. 7, lines 8-65) and embodiments in which portions of the lead body itself is covered with a porous second coating (see, for example, col. 5, line 7 - col. 6, line 59). In each embodiment, one of the porous layers has a pore size to prevent substantially all tissue in-growth (i.e., layer 308 of Figs. 3 and 4 and the inner layer disposed around the defibrillation electrodes).

With respect to claims 8-9 and 19-20, Soukup et al. discloses that the lead may include a dielectric coating over the proximal end (see col. 6, lines 9-43). The dielectric coating may be formed of silicone or other biomedical materials.

With respect to claims 10 and 21, Soukup et al. discloses that the system further includes a plurality of leads (i.e., leads 600 and 604 of Fig. 6).

With respect to claims 4, 12-14 and 18, Soukup et al. discloses that the disclosed invention may be utilized on the enclosure/can of an implantable medical device (see col. 8, lines 1-10). Although it is not explicit that such a housing or can may be a pacemaker or defibrillator, it is apparent from the disclosure that the invention relates to defibrillation and pace/sense applications (see col. 1, line 20 - col. 2, line 52). Further, the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement made by the Examiner in the

Office Action mailed 1/27/06 (is well known in the art for pacemakers to include monitoring functionality, including means for monitoring blood pressure, temperature, oxygen, heart rate, respiration, etc...) is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of Official Notice (MPEP2144.03(C)). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the IMD can of Soukup et al. to include monitoring functionality as is well known in the art in order to provide more physiological pacing therapy, or in order to record such monitoring health data for later review by a physician.

5. Claims 15, 22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,704,604 ("Soukup et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,755,762 ("Bush"), as applied above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,562,715 ("Czura et al.").

As discussed above, Soukup et al. discloses that the disclosed invention may be utilized on the enclosure/can of an implantable medical device (see col. 8, lines 1-10). However, Soukup et al. fails to disclose a dielectric coating over the metallic can, and a passageway through the dielectric coating to form an exposed portion of the container. Czura et al. teaches that both unipolar and bipolar stimulation are known in the art, and one may be preferable to the other in many cases (see col. 1, lines 9-67). Czura et al. teaches a pacemaker (10), the housing of which is constructed of a conductive material (see col. 3, line 65- col. 4, line 3) coated with a dielectric material such as silicone rubber or paralene (see col. 4, lines 4-11). Detachable tabs (28) are provided on each

Application/Control Number: 10/662,129

Art Unit: 3762

side of the pacemaker in order to allow a physician to selectively expose a portion of the casing to serve as an indifferent electrode when it is desirable for the device to pace in a unipolar mode (see, for example, col. 3, lines 3-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the pacemaker system of Soukup et al. such that the can has a dielectric coating and detachable tabs for selectively exposing a portion of the can to serve as an indifferent electrode as taught by Czura et al. in order to enable the pacemaker system to operate in either a unipolar or bipolar mode, depending upon which stimulation mode is preferable.

Page 8

With respect to claims 24-26, Soukup et al. discloses a lead (i.e., leads 600 and 604 of Fig. 6) having a distal end including an electrode/coil (electrodes 602 and 604). Soukup et al. discloses several embodiments, including embodiments in which the electrode/coil is covered with a porous second covering (see, for example, col. 7, lines 8-65) and embodiments in which portions of the lead body itself is covered with a porous second coating (see, for example, col. 5, line 7 - col. 6, line 59). In each embodiment, one of the porous layers has a pore size to prevent substantially all tissue in-growth (i.e., layer 308 of Figs. 3 and 4 and the inner layer disposed around the defibrillation electrodes).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim rejections based on Mansfield and Alt have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,129

Art Unit: 3762

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/06 with respect to the claim rejections based on Bush have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, Applicant argues that Bush does not teach the limitation of "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" (see page 8 of Response filed 6/16/06). However, Bush discloses that the porous tubular covering may be made of various materials, including polyethylene (see col. 6, lines 1-13, especially line 5). Examiner considers this polyethylene to be the claimed "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" since the material of Bush is characterized by pore sizes suitable to allow penetration of bodily fluids but small enough such that fibrous tissue ingrowth is reduced (see col. 6, lines 13-22).

Page 9

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/06 with respect to the claim rejections based on Soukup et al. have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, Applicant argues that Soukup et al. does not teach or suggest the limitation of "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" (see page 8 of Response filed 6/16/06). Examiner agrees that the porous covering of Soukup et al. is constructed from porous PTFE, and thus Soukup et al. fails to teach that the porous covering may include "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene." However, Examiner notes that Applicant has not disclosed that the use of "expanded ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene" as opposed to the other porous materials of original claim 2 solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose other than such material includes a matrix of expanded macromolecules that both repel in vivo fibrotic tissue ingrowth, and

Art Unit: 3762

is porous enough to provide an electrical coupling path between a body tissue or fluid and the electrode (see, for example, page 10, lines 9-12 of Applicant's specification). As taught by Bush, various materials, including both PTFE and polyethylene, are known in the art for including pore sizes suitable to allow penetration of bodily fluids but small enough such that fibrous tissue ingrowth is reduced (see col. 6, lines 1-22). Thus, it appears to be an obvious matter of design choice to modify the PTFE the porous covering of Soukup et al. such that it includes polyethylene, since the selection of any of these known equivalent materials would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art, and it appears that the claimed invention would perform equally well with any suitable material having pore sizes suitable to repel tissue ingrowth while still allowing an electrical coupling path between a body tissue or fluid and the electrode.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/662,129

Art Unit: 3762

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nicole R. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-

8792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to

4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/25/06

Page 11